EXHIBIT 6

Dockets.Justia.com

Holderfield, Randal

From: Mosko, Scott
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2007 11:16 AM
To: 'Greer, Yvonne'
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Yvonne,

Please explain how you believe the responses were "incomplete" or "evasive". If the files identified by Mr. Williams in his deposition provides additional information that is responsive to the interrogatories you have identified in your email, I suspect that some of those responses will be amended. The investigation of this information is continuing, as I have stated.

I am happy to discuss these matters further with you, and perhaps you can enlighten me as to where you find support for your allegations, given the circumstances.

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Greer, Yvonne [mailto:ygreer@orrick.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2007 11:00 AM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Sutton, Theresa A.; Cooper, Monte; Dalton, Amy
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Scott:

PNS and Mr. Williams' response to Facebook's First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 3 and 4 were incomplete and evasive in light of Mr. Williams' deposition testimony and other documentation. We have sought supplementation since July with no definitive response from you as to whether Defendants would do so. Facebook has no other choice at this point but to seek assistance from the Court.

We will re-set the hearing date for November 28, 2007.

Yvonne

-----Original Message-----
From: Mosko, Scott [mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2007 10:16 AM
To: Greer, Yvonne
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Yvonne,

The Wednesday before Thanksgiving is not convenient for me, as I will be out of town.

Please convey my apologies to Theresa for not responding to her email from last week before now. As I understand the issue: During Mr. Williams' testimony, he provided a response that suggested documentation concerning emails could be found on a particular server at PNS. Theresa inquired about this information. As I have indicated, this issue has taken some time to investigate. We have located some files on the server identified by Mr. Williams, but given its organization, it is unclear whether any of these files contains information that is responsive to the document requests. We are working with all due diligence to make this determination. It is not as simple as opening the file to see what is in it. Once we make this determination, we will either amend our responses or advise you that the current responses are accurate.

Are you aware of other discovery issues that are outstanding?

Thank you...

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Greer, Yvonne [mailto:ygreer@orrick.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2007 6:39 PM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Sutton, Theresa A.; Dalton, Amy; Cooper, Monte
Subject: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Scott:

Facebook intends to file a motion to compel with a hearing date scheduled for November 21, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. Please confirm that you are available on that date.

Also, Facebook requests that PNS and Williams de-designate as confidential PNS01763 and Winston Williams' deposition testimony at 157:10-157:12 and 159:23-160:5. This document and specific deposition testimony does not specifically refer to confidential matters within the scope of the protective order.

From: Sutton, Theresa A.
Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2007 12:32 PM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Greer, Yvonne; Cooper, Monte; Dalton, Amy
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Scott-

Would you please give me an estimate on when this investigation is expected to be complete? We would like to resolve this issue soon.

Please also confirm whether Mr. Williams and PNS intend to supplement their interrogatory responses.

Theresa

O
O R R I C K

Theresa A. Sutton
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Silicon Valley Office
1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025
650.614.7307 (Voice)

650.614.7401 (Fax)
tsutton@orrick.com
www.orrick.com

From: Mosko, Scott [mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2007 1:28 PM
To: Sutton, Theresa A.
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Theresa,

Further to my statement that I would be following up with Mr. Taves, based on the testimony provided by Mr. Williams, Mr. Taves has located additional files that may concern ConnectU. We are in the process of investigating these files. Once we are able to open these files, if we find anything in them that is responsive to Plaintiffs' document requests we will produce it, assuming it is not otherwise privileged.

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com <mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com>

From: Mosko, Scott
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2007 9:24 AM
To: 'Sutton, Theresa A.'
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Theresa,

I have previously asked the Orrick firm not set arbitrary deadlines, as you have in this email string. Moreover, demands as set forth in this string, with the apparent attempt to conclude that meet and confers have been completed do not qualify as meet and confers under the local rules.

In any event, as I understand your email, you are seeking details that can only be provided by having access to PNS servers. As Mr. Williams has testified, he has not provided services to PNS for some time. He does not have access to any of the PNS equipment. He provided whatever details he could remember in his written responses. Facebook then took his deposition and inquired about the topics. At best, I could provide a declaration from Mr. Williams saying he has no further information to the discovery than what has already been provided.

Regarding PNS, it was my understanding that Mr. Taves performed a detailed search, which included the servers mentioned by Mr. Williams in his deposition. All existing files that concerned Facebook were produced. My understanding, as I stated before, is that Mr. Taves is out of the country. As I previously stated, I will confirm my understanding as soon as Mr. Taves is available.

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com
<mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com>

From: Sutton, Theresa A. [mailto:tsutton@orrick.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2007 1:51 PM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Cooper, Monte; Greer, Yvonne; Dalton, Amy
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Scott-

PNS and Mr. Williams refused to respond to Interrogatories 3-4 as written.

Interrogatory 3 to both Defendants requires each of them to identify from what URL or IP Address they accessed the Facebook website, to state the reasons for their access of the Facebook website, and to identify the dates such access occurred. PNS refused to answer altogether, and Mr. Williams only provided IP addresses for the PNS server.

Interrogatory 4 requires PNS to identify the dates it distributed email communications to Facebook email accounts, and the addresses of all such email accounts associated with California. PNS again refused to answer altogether, and Mr. Williams provided a generic (and inaccurate) explanation of how he claims emails were distributed.

Both Defendants' responses are evasive and incomplete. Supplementation requires: (1) the exact URL and IP addresses from which any employee, agent or consultant of PNS accessed Facebook; (2) a statement of all the reasons for such access of the Facebook website; (3) the identity of the specific dates for such access; (4) the dates emails were distributed to Facebook; and (5) the California email accounts to which such emails were sent.

Please confirm no later than by 12:00 p.m. PDT tomorrow that PNS and Mr. Williams will provide such information, and state the date (no later than October 27) that such supplementation will be provided. We believe the information is available to PNS and Mr. Williams, and that they are required to make all reasonable efforts to provide complete and responsive answers. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 33(a). If they deny the information exists, we will move to compel, including compelling forensic imaging to recover the missing information.

Theresa

O
O R R I C K

Theresa A. Sutton
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Silicon Valley Office
1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025
650.614.7307 (Voice)
650.614.7401 (Fax)
tsutton@orrick.com

www.orrick.com

From: Mosko, Scott [mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2007 10:34 AM
To: Sutton, Theresa A.
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Theresa,

First, I did not "confirm" anything. Instead, I am trying to understand your objection to the discovery responses. It is unclear to me what kind of supplement you are seeking. You identified certain testimony provided by Mr. Williams. I understood from this citation that you are asking me to check whether the information to which Mr. Williams referred is available. In my previous discussions with PNS, I understood that all information regarding Facebook was produced. Given your citation to testimony, I am attempting to confirm whether additional files are available. I will respond once I have more information.

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com
<mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com>

From: Sutton, Theresa A. [mailto:tsutton@orrick.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2007 5:36 PM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Cooper, Monte; Greer, Yvonne; Dalton, Amy
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Scott-

You do not address Mr. Williams' supplementation, which confirms he does not intend to amend his responses. Further, the issues raised by the deficiencies are not merely related to the IP address but ratherinformation that has been available to PNS at all times. In light of the fact PNS and Mr. Williams previously declined to supplement, we see no reason to wait for Mr. Taves to return. We will move to compel, as previously discussed and referenced in earlier correspondence.

Theresa

O
O R R I C K

Theresa A. Sutton

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Silicon Valley Office
1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025
650.614.7307 (Voice)
650.614.7401 (Fax)
tsutton@orrick.com
www.orrick.com

From: Mosko, Scott [mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2007 2:21 PM
To: Sutton, Theresa A.
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Theresa,

I am advised that John Taves is out of the country and therefore is not immediately available to confirm whether the IP address mentioned by Winston Williams in his deposition is a source for additional information. As soon as I have some information about this, I will be in touch. Nevertheless, before any motion to compel is filed, I would suggest you review the language you cited to me.

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com <mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com>

From: Sutton, Theresa A. [mailto:tsutton@orrick.com]
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2007 1:05 PM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Cooper, Monte; Dalton, Amy; Greer, Yvonne
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory Responses

Scott-

You can refer to Mr. Williams' deposition transcript at 159:4-160:20 and 201:2-205:20. See also CUCA02972. You also can refer to Monte's June 29 email, and my July 17, email to you, both of which I've attached.

I do not believe that Mr. Williams' departure from PNS absolves either from their discovery obligations.

Please let Monte or me know if PNS and Mr. Williams will supplement their responses.

Theresa

O
O R R I C K

Theresa A. Sutton
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Silicon Valley Office
1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025
650.614.7307 (Voice)
650.614.7401 (Fax)
tsutton@orrick.com
www.orrick.com

From: Mosko, Scott
[mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com]
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2007 11:38
AM
To: Sutton, Theresa A.
Subject: RE: Supplemental Interrogatory
Responses

Theresa,

Please send me a more detailed email concerning your request as it concerns interrogatory responses. Specifically, what testimony are you referring to (i.e. page and line number, please)? I will look into the circumstances. As you should know, Mr. Williams has not been part of PNS for quite some time. I am satisfied that PNS did a thorough job in investigating its records for the purposes of responding to discovery. However, if there is some information that you believe sheds light on a location at PNS that might provide additional responsive information, please let me know, and I will investigate.

Scott R. Mosko
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
Dunner, LLP
3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
PH: 650-849-6672
FAX: 650-849-6666
EMAIL: scott.mosko@finnegan.com
<mailto:scott.mosko@finnegan.com>

From: Sutton, Theresa A.
[mailto:tsutton@orrick.com]
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2007
10:50 AM
To: Mosko, Scott
Cc: Cooper, Monte; Greer,
Yvonne; Dalton, Amy
Subject: Supplemental
Interrogatory Responses

Scott:

Please re-confirm that PNS and Mr. Williams will not supplement any of their Interrogatory Responses (Nos. 1-4). In light of Mr. Williams' testimony at his deposition that it is possible for PNS to recover database information from the servers with IP addresses 207.244.158.164, 207.244.158.165, and/or 207.244.158.34 concerning when information was imported by PNS for ConnectU from the Facebook website, and when invitations were sent to Facebook users. Complete responses to these interrogatories are warranted by both parties.

We held off filing a motion to compel that was the subject of Monte Cooper's prior emails on the subject, as well as my discussion with you on July 16, 2007, pending the Court's resolution of the Defendants' motion to dismiss. In light of the Court's denial of that motion, and PNS' and Williams' answer to the Second Amended Complaint, plaintiffs intend to move to compel unless PNS and Williams agree to supplement with the requisite information.

Please let me know immediately if PNS' and/or Williams' positions have changed.

Thank you

Theresa

O
O R R I C K

Theresa A. Sutton
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
LLP

Silicon Valley Office
1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, CA
94025

650.614.7307 (Voice)
650.614.7401 (Fax)
tsutton@orrick.com
www.orrick.com

===

IRS Circular 230 disclosure:
To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

===

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL

IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit http://www.orrick.com/

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.

This e-mail message is intended only for individual(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, proprietary, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you believe you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail and delete it from your mailbox. Thank you.